## Washington Appeals Court Continues Ban on The Post's Series on Vietnam

By JAMES M. NAUGHTON Special to The New York Times

By JAMES M. NAUGITON
Special to The New York Times
WASHINGTON, June 22
Nine Federal Appeals judges continued tonight a ban against publication by the Washington
Post of articles based on secret Pentagon documents. They said that the ban would remain in effect until they ruled on the propriety of the Government's attempt to stop the articles.

After a three-hour hearing, the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia gave no indication how quickly it would decide.

Shortly before the judges went into a 30-minute "in camera" session to hear the Government's argument that continued publication of the Pentagon documents would jooper dize the national security, The Post's lawyers rejected a Government offer to review the study of Victnam war involvement with an eye toward possible declassification of some portions.

Solicitor General Erwin N, Ithem recommendations "with the proposition of the propositions, solicitor General Erwin N, Ithem recommendations "with the would remain the Soviet Union on limiting second article Saturday, so that the merits of the issue could be asked:

"What chance is there going to the SALT talks if the people on the other to the Salt the Missaked:

"What chance is there going to the SALT talks if the people on the other to dearny on the SALT talks if the people on the other the side think anything they might say, particularly if they put it in writing, would show up in the American press?"

Sees Peril on Mideast

Then, in the same vein, he spoke of tense relations in the Middle East and asked "what the merits of the sisue could be argued — asked the Solicitor General if the court was being upged to issue a "futile" inunction.

What if the following day the public that the merits of the sisue could be argued — asked the merits of the sisue could be argued — asked the merits of the sisue could be argued — asked the merits of the sisue could be argued — asked the merits of the sisue could be argued — asked the merits of the sisue could be argued — asked the merits of the sisue could be

been authorized by the Secretin this case of the press.

the military chiefs of staff to offer a "joint task force" to Solicitor General said that the examine the document. He said examine the document. He said it was impossible that within the integrity of the institution of the Presidency" itself.

The contended that the existence of copyright laws proved that the First Amendment was not absolute. "I note that The Washington Post is copyrighting" its Pentagon series, he said. Similarly, Mr. Griswold ar-

Judges Often Interrupt
gued, that continuation of the articles would imperil the nation's security—the charge that has formed the basis of the Government's complaint.

Mr. Griswold, making his first courtroom appearance in the Government's spreading efforts to halt disclosures by The New York Times, The Post and now The Boston Globe, characterized the articles as threats to the President's powers and to the nation's diplomatic negotiations.

Judges Often Interrupt
Members of the court, including Chief Judge David L. Bazelon, frequently interrupted United States and thus copying the question both sides sharply. The court permitted Representative Rob Eckhardt, Demodrative Rob Eckhardt, D

portions.

Solicitor General Erwin N. them recommendations "with Griswold, who argued the Govout the chilling effect—and I criment's case, said he had been authorized by the Secretin this case" of disclosure in the said.

Solicitor General Erwin N. them recommendations "with the wanted to put the issue in perspective. "This is a great case, I suppose, and great cases sometimes make had law," he said.

45 days any document that did of the Presidency" itself. not remain secret would be made public.

That argument was not advanced by the Government

to determine what the press Mr. Glendon told the court should publish.

"It is time that the presses were allowed to roll in this and reporters that classified inmatter," Mr. Glendon told the formation is frequently given court.

Court.

The Government has offered

Judges Often Interrupt

Judges Often Interrupt

The Court of the First Amendment.

Judges Often Interrupt

The Court of the First Amendment of the First Amendment.

Judges Often Interrupt

The Court of the First Amendment of the First Amendment.

Judges Often Interrupt

Referring to negotiations be-tween the United States and the Soviet Union on limiting strategic arms, Mr. Griswold the merits of the issue could be parked:

"Government Handout"

But The Post's chief attorney, William R. Glendon, described the offer as one of "Government by handout" and said it would merely permit the executive branch to continue efforts to determine what the press should publish.

"It is time that the executive form the executive branch to continue efforts to determine what the press should publish.

"Government Handout" and said it when it sought and failed to get yesterday a temporary in junction against The Post from District Court Judge Gerhard A. Gesell, whose ruling that The Post may continue the series is what is now under appeal.

Mr. Glendon told the line was not adsoluted that if "some enterprise and unpublished manuscript by stolen, bought from his secretary or found on the sidewalk"—and planned to publish it, Mrs. Hemingway could enion told the line when it sought and failed to get yesterday a temporary in junction against The Post from District Court Judge Gerhard A. Gesell, whose ruling that or found on the sidewalk"—and planned to publish it, Mrs. Hemingway could enion told the line when it sought and failed to get yesterday a temporary in junction against The Post from his secretary or found on the sidewalk"—and planned to publish it, Mrs. Hemingway could enion told the line when it sought and failed to get yesterday a temporary in junction against The Post from his secretary or found on the sidewalk"—and planned to publish it, Mrs. Hemingway could enion told the line when it sought and failed to get yesterday a temporary in junction against The Post from his secretary or found on the sidewalk"—and planned to publish it, Mrs. Hemingway could enion told the line when it sought and failed to get yesterday a temporary in gued that if "some enterprise in gued that if "some ent

Mr. Griswold was interrupted by Judge J. Skelly Wright and